

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,172	03/17/2006	Hitoshi Omori	ASAIN0178	3895
113 7590 ILIAN2008 GRIFFIN BLILER WHISENHUNT & SZIPL LLP SUITE PH-1 2300 NIN'IH STREET SOUTH ARLINGTON, VA 222042396			EXAMINER	
			RACHUBA, MAURINA T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/595.172 OMORI ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3727 Maurina Rachuba All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Maurina Rachuba. (3) (2) Wesley Ashton (47395). (4)____. Date of Interview: 20 November 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: of record. Identification of prior art discussed: of record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; discussed language to overcome 112 rejection-replace claim language directed to "groove" with "gear extending from side of drum" and to replace "cylindrical" with "tubular". This would overcome the rejections under 112. Also discussed possible language to overcome the art rejections. These may be new issuesthe examiner will consider when formally filed .. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/M Rachuba/ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3727